

“UNSETTLING” THE CHRISTCHURCH MASSACRE FOREGROUNDING SETTLER COLONIALISM IN STUDIES OF ISLAMOPHOBIA

Kawsar ALI

Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

This article will explore how settler colonialism must be situated in studies of Islamophobia and racial violence through a case study of the Christchurch Massacre. On 15 March 2019 Australian gunman Brenton Tarrant entered two mosques in Christchurch, New Zealand and brutally killed 51 Muslims. Tarrant utilized the Internet to broadcast white violence and was met with support from white supremacist Internet users. I study the Christchurch Massacre through contexts of settler colonialism, both the settler colony of Aotearoa-New Zealand, as well as the settler colony of Australia, the nationality of the gunman, to highlight how the Christchurch Massacre is sustained through both white sovereigns. As investigated through Stuart Hall’s concept of the “ideological moment”, I purport that disregarding the settler colonial context of the Christchurch Massacre contributes to an “ideological moment” that fails to address Indigenous sovereignty and its nexus to targeting non-Indigenous and racialized Muslims. Drawing on theoretical frameworks in settler colonial and whiteness studies, I ask: how can we reconcile studying the Christchurch Massacre when Indigenous sovereignty is unreconciled? How can we settle Islamophobia in settler colonies?

I start this paper by acknowledging the Traditional Owners of the Land on which I write, research and present my work today. I pay my respects to Elders, past, present and emerging, and acknowledge Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders as the first people of Australia. They have never ceded sovereignty and remain strong in their enduring connection to land and culture.

As an Arab Muslim woman living on occupied, Aboriginal land, I acknowledge that white violence against racialized bodies in Australia and Aotearoa-New Zealand, among other settler colonies, reiterates the settler state. As such, in studying racial violence against Muslim bodies, I have sought to investigate how racial violence against non-Indigenous bodies reinforces the ferocity of the white sovereign. Therefore, I seek to stage this intervention to highlight “the everyday pervasive present-tense and presence of colonial power” that

should “command our political work and analytic attention.”¹ I sought to do so in my Master of Research dissertation at Macquarie University where I investigated settler colonialism as embodied in the Christchurch Massacre, in both Australia, through the nationality of Brenton Tarrant, a white supremacist who killed 51 Muslims, and Aotearoa-New Zealand, where the massacre had taken place at two mosques in Christchurch, New Zealand, both online and offline. I expanded on this with reference to the term I have coined – *digital settler colonialism*, a term that refers to the ways in which the Internet enacts and extends settler colonial violence that punishes Indigenous and non-Indigenous racialized bodies.² I direct my attention to systems that can reiterate the settler state – such as the Internet – rather than Internet users, investigating to what extent settler colonialism is built *into* the Internet.

In this paper I consider how offline structures suture violence against racialized bodies. I will examine the on-the-ground racial violence that exists and is mobilized through the settler state, as Ta-Nehsi Coates writes: “But all our phrasing – race relations, racial chasm, racial justice, racial profiling, white privilege, even white supremacy – serves to obscure that racism is a visceral experience, that it dislodges brains, blocks airways, rips muscle, extracts organs, cracks bones, breaks teeth...with great violence, upon the body.”³ This is a reality that must not be lost in studying the Christchurch Massacre, an event that I will reference to reiterate the importance of studying settler colonialism when investigating Islamophobia – the massacre was a visceral act of violence that was *felt*, blood was drawn, lives were mercilessly taken, final moments of life were terrorized. My research is dedicated to the lives lost, and that continue to be lost, through systems of white violence and settler colonialism, such as the Christchurch Massacre victims. Inadvertently, I write this paper as the second-year memorial anniversary of the Christchurch Massacre approaches. Not a day has gone by where the massacre has not at least crossed my mind. As the victims were murdered during prayer, it urges me to extend an Islamic prayer to honor the lives lost – *Allāhu yarḥamuhu*.⁴

In the course of this paper, I will draw upon two incidents, one at a Muslim organized conference, and one at an academic led event on my university campus, to introduce the failure of incorporating the settler colonial realities of the Christchurch Massacre into its academic and community consideration in Australia. I draw on these experiences, inspired by Randa Abdel-Fattah’s urging of critical reflection on everyday interactions to encourage a more textured understanding of Islamophobia to “reveal small crevices of ambivalence and flux, moments of pause and suspension that unsettle and question – even if only for a fleeting moment – enduring and overwhelming narratives, ideologies and tools of racist biopolitics” that will contribute to evaluating Islamophobia through settler colonialism.⁵ The aim when doing so is to reiterate that racial justice cannot be met for non-Indigenous racialized subjects, such as Muslims, without situating white violence through the context of the settler state. In summary – the foundations of racial justice, both online and offline, are uneasy, with regard to Indigenous dispossession and upholding white sovereignty. As a result, how can we expect racial justice for those living on occupied land? How can we reconcile studying the Christchurch Massacre when Indigenous sovereignty is unreconciled? How can we unsettle Islamophobia in settler colonies?

THE SETTLER STATE, WHITE SUPREMACY AND ITS OTHERS

I first situate my research topic within the theoretical frameworks of whiteness and settler colonial studies. Located as an interdisciplinary project that emerged out of race and ethnicity studies, decolonial studies and cultural studies, whiteness studies developed as an area of inquiry that examined the systemic and structural power attached to whiteness and white identity.⁶ Despite current embodiment as multicultural nations that are post-assimilationist policy, both Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia are shaped by their colonial past and present as settler colonies. Patrick Wolfe and Lorenzo Veracini argue that settler colonies are territorial and permanent projects which assert themselves as new societies through the displacement of Indigenous communities, histories and identities.⁷ As such, Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia are “inexorably based on mass settlement and aspirations for sovereign entitlement” through white supremacist violence of dehumanized Indigenous and Māori subjects to occupy white sovereignty.⁸ This central white paradigm highlights the justification of white violence, as Aileen Moreton-Robinson writes, “The existence of those who can be defined as truly human requires the presence of others who are considered less human.” This “logic of elimination” refers not only to the “dissolution of native societies,” rather, it also calculatngly “erects a new colonial society on the expropriated land base – settler colonizers come to stay: invasion is a structure not an event.”¹⁰ Wolfe’s theorization of “settler colonialism is a structure and not an event” can be seen through the continual displacement and institutionalized punishment of Indigenous and Māori bodies in both Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia that appear neutral because they are embedded within the governance regimes of the settler state¹¹ Both Indigenous and Māori communities remain targeted and oppressed through criminal justice – incarceration and police brutality, health – higher morbidity and mortality rates and western medicine disregarding traditional herbal remedies, education and employment – affirmative action initiatives for Indigenous and Māori minorities fail to address the systemic disadvantage that long impacts education and employment security, amongst many other governmental streams and institutions.¹² This corresponds with Ruth Wilson Gilmore’s definition of racism as “the state-sanctioned or extra-legal production and exploitation of group-differentiated vulnerability to premature death” – white sovereignty equates the continuous punishment of Indigenous groups as they are treated as people to be “dealt” with, rather than Indigenous and Māori sovereignty posed as a viable option for their own liberation.¹³

Through a disregard and denial of Indigenous sovereignty, the white settler state then erects racial violence onto non-Indigenous racialized subjects and immigrants by asserting who does and does not belong in the white imaginary. As Anne Bonds and Joshua Inwood theorize, both Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia illustrate settler colonialism is shaped by “the permanent occupation of a territory and the removal of Indigenous peoples with the express purpose of building an ethnically distinct national community.”¹⁴ It is white supremacy that is grounded in racist violence, normativity and privilege that enables settler colonialism, as Moreton-Robinson states, “In the guise of the invisible human universal, whiteness secures hegemony through discourse by normalizing itself as the cultural space of the West.”¹⁵ Exemplary of this, Nigel Murphy highlights how white assimilationist policies were instilled in Aotearoa-New Zealand to ensure racial homogeneity in the

white imaginary of the “Britain of the South Seas.”¹⁶ This can be seen through the decree of a “98% British” in the colonization of Aotearoa-New Zealand that sought “homogeneity as the aim and various immigration policies were passed that excluded all non-white immigrants based on assumptions of racial and cultural suitability.”¹⁷ This illustrates the explicit exclusion of non-white immigrants that paralleled with genocidal Indigenous dispossession in the nation-building of Aotearoa-New Zealand, however, these racially violent and white supremacist attitudes endure till today. As Jessica Terruhn writes, “these settler narratives of egalitarianism, a “fair go” mentality and mateship – which remain prominent today – intersected with Indigenous dispossession, the unequivocal exclusion of non-white immigrants, and Aotearoa-New Zealand’s own colonial aspirations in the Pacific.”¹⁸ Furthermore, this signifies that “pillars of white supremacy are best understood as logics rather than categories signifying specific groups of people,” Muslims face racial violence within the ongoing structure of settler colonialism that systematically generates genocidal and institutional harm for Indigenous groups, first and most brutally, then targets non-Indigenous racialized subjects.¹⁹ White supremacy, I underscore, impacts Indigenous people and diverse racialized groups in different ways, contexts and spaces. It is through the settler state that in Australia and Aotearoa-New Zealand racialized subjects such as Muslims are punished for occupying space.

In the Australian context, whiteness studies and settler colonial studies often focus on assimilationist histories of the White Australia policy and the ongoing occupation of Indigenous land.²⁰ Ghassan Hage writes that Australia is a national space “structured around a white culture, where Aboriginal people and non-white ethnics are merely national objects to be moved and removed according to white national will.”²¹ Similarly, in Aotearoa-New Zealand, as Bonds and Inwood theorize, settler colonialism is too shaped by “the permanent occupation of a territory and the removal of Indigenous peoples with the express purpose of building an ethnically distinct national community,” regarding Māori identities, communities and histories.²² Scott Poynting urges the importance of highlighting this, writing “It is essential, for perspective, to keep firmly in mind the long and atrocious history of self-appointed white Australian guardians of the ethnic purity of what they claim as ‘our land’, using overwhelming firepower to mass murder unarmed people in a campaign of what would later be widely understood as genocide...Nor is the killing past, given the ongoing regime of early, avoidable and violent death visited on Indigenous peoples of the Australian continent.”²³ Azeezah Kanji and David Palumbo-Liu also highlight this: “Emergent critiques of Islamophobia as a systemic, transnational phenomenon have tended to stop short of any truly materialist analysis and any deep historical reading of the conditions that support these mobilizations of anti-Muslim sentiment in settler societies.”²⁴ The article, titled “*Settler Colonialism Lurked Beneath the Christchurch Shooting*” drew parallels between Australia and Aotearoa-New Zealand to highlight the importance of reflecting on the settler state to address Islamophobia in both countries.²⁵ In a similar approach, Māori academic Khylee Quince closely examines the rhetoric embodied in the reporting, reception and reflection of the Christchurch shooting and its local context of Aotearoa-New Zealand by critiquing Jacinta Arden’s “this is not us” sentiments, writing: “This is not us’, yet the New Zealand Wars (1800s) happened. ‘This is not us’ and the Treaty of Waitangi became a legal nullity (1877). In 2004, Don Brash’s Orewa Speech happened.”²⁶ Poynting, Quince and Kanji and

Palumbo-Liu highlight the necessity of examining settler colonialism in the Christchurch shooting, but they also focus their analyses on the broader concerns of Islamophobia in settler societies – illustrating that the Christchurch shooting is not an isolated incident, precisely because it is connected to settler colonialism, but that racial violence permeates in everyday multiculturalism.²⁷ I appreciate the arguments made in these progressive papers and seek to contribute towards their urgings in advocating that settler colonialism is foregrounded in studies of the Christchurch Massacre and other violent forms of Islamophobia and racial violence.²⁸

Here, I begin my analysis of the Christchurch Massacre with a clear outline of the asymmetrical relations of power and key political and historical differentials in the way that Indigenous communities and Muslim communities are impacted in Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia. This ethical intervention seeks to acknowledge these differences between Indigenous and Māori bodies, recognizing that they both remain unsettled, as well as Muslim racialized subjects, in imaginaries of nationhood in Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia. I explore this to acknowledge that the continual violent replacement of Indigenous populations, identities and histories complicates the prospect of racial justice to other minority groups such as Muslims living in both settler states. Appreciating the following from Aimee Carrillo Rowe and Eve Tuck, “a framework that does not prioritize Indigenous sovereignty and futurity is “incommensurable” with human and social justice projects as some elements of these processes cannot be aligned.”²⁹ As a result, I purport an approach that encompasses the past and current systemic genocide of traditional custodians of both occupied lands in attempt to criticize white supremacist violence against Muslim communities. As Randa Abdel Fattah writes, “multiculturalism, in all of its policy variants, has been formulated on the basis of the denial of Indigenous sovereignty, coupled with liberal democratic notions of individual liberty, equality and justice, based on a central white paradigm.”³⁰ In settler societies, such as Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia, both whiteness and “settleness” meet and work with one another to displace groups that do not fit into the white imaginary, as Gaia Giuliani argues, “whiteness as a bio-political identity forms a foundational component in the definition of the settler vis-à-vis both Indigenous and exogenous Others.”³¹ There are a number of works that discuss the place of racialized subjects, such as Muslims, living in both occupied Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia.³² As well, I acknowledge there are individuals who encompass both respective identities, both Indigenous and Muslim, and remain punished through the continual systematic dispossession equated with white sovereignty and racialized criminality, as well as Muslim communities that can reiterate anti Indigenous rhetoric. Finally, I hope to contribute an understanding of an “increasing presence of exogenous Others,” Muslims, in occupied Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia, from a macro lens of settler colonialism that displaces both Indigenous and non-Indigenous bodies of color both online and offline.³³

THE CHRISTCHURCH MASSACRE: THE IDEOLOGICAL MOMENT

On the 15th of March 2019 Australian gunman Brenton Tarrant entered two mosques in Christchurch, Aotearoa-New Zealand and massacred 51 Muslims and injured 49 Muslims.

With a GoPro camera attached to his body, Tarrant recorded and live streamed the shooting using Facebook's livestreaming application feature, broadcasting the attack to millions of viewers live and after the shooting.³⁴ Facebook regulators stated that the social media platform "had removed 1.5 million shares of the video from its platform within the first 24 hours of the shooting," illustrating how white supremacists co-opt Internet tools, such as Facebook, to encourage, disseminate and desensitize the punishment of racialized bodies, such as Muslims.³⁵ Tarrant demonstrated that the Christchurch Massacre was conceived with the Internet in mind, as he left behind a trail of digital evidence to support his white settler messages after the shooting.³⁶ I argue that it is Tarrant's fixation on ethnic replacement that underpins the Christchurch Massacre, reiterating his stance as an extension of settler colonialism by enacting the "logics of extermination" in order to secure white settler possession.³⁷ The pre-mature death of 51 Muslims and injury of 49 Muslim in the Christchurch shooting cannot be separated from the logics of white sovereignty that legitimize white subjects to punish groups who do not belong in the white imaginary, and it is through digital settler colonialism, white violence online, that this is broadcasted, celebrated and archived online. In this way, Tarrant's whiteness and attack is not just about bodies and religiosity, rather, he is a part of "the discursive practices that, because of colonialism and neo-colonialism, privilege and the global dominance of white imperial subjects" that allows attention to be focused on representatives of white supremacy rather than contextualized as exacerbations legitimized by settler institutions.³⁸ As a result, in locating privilege at the scale of the individual, by focusing on Brenton Tarrant, studies of the Christchurch Massacre "have the potential to leave the underlying structures of racism's death dealing displacements" out of explorations by disregarding settler colonialism in Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia that legitimize white violence.³⁹

After the Christchurch Massacre solidarity emerged across social media for the Muslim community, particularly, the hashtag phrases #ThisIsNotNewZealand and #TheyAreUs proliferated in bid to challenge white supremacy online and condemn the shooter and his online celebration. However, the Christchurch Massacre is not a new phenomenon to Māori communities, as well as Indigenous Australian communities, who have faced genocide in the name of white supremacy. Here we can deploy the following quote that highlights the fallacy of Aotearoa-New Zealand as a peaceful nation, distancing itself from its settler colonial roots and maintenance:

While Māori continue to endure the relentless violence under settler-colonial rule, the belief that European settlement in Aotearoa/New Zealand took place peacefully continues to be widely propagated in mainstream discourse. For example, in 2015 former prime minister of Aotearoa/New Zealand, John Key, infamously said, 'In my view New Zealand was one of the very few countries in the world that were settled peacefully. Maori probably acknowledge that settlers had a place to play and brought with them a lot of skills and a lot of capital' (cited in Bell, Elizabeth, McIntosh, & Wynyard, 2017, p.18). Such a narrative is obviously lacking in coherence when the effects of colonial atrocities continue to play out today with an over-representation of Māori in New Zealand's neoliberal prisons-for-profit system, along with the relentless and ongoing theft of Māori land by the New Zealand Crown.⁴⁰

It is the harm of white supremacy that is rooted in settler colonialism, which the Internet extends, rather than Brenton Tarrant as a sole agent, that allowed the Christchurch Massacre to happen. In other words, we must move beyond the tendency in scholarship to “invoke understandings of race as social construction,” such as through Tarrant and his racial writing and actions, without also focusing on the way racism is sutured to and produces literal death, legitimized through authorities and the settler state.⁴¹ As highlighted in criticisms of whiteness and whiteness studies “well intended” policies, often created by, within as well as on settler institutions, rely on “gestures” rather than systematic and structural change of white sovereignty.⁴² This “entrenches rather than destabilizes settler social formations,” becoming but a fleeting moment of shallow acknowledgment that reaffirms the white sovereign.⁴³ Similar sentiments can be seen here in the Christchurch Massacre where Jacinta Arden donned a hijab, a demonized religious and cultural item, and on occupied Aotearoa-New Zealand stated “We were not a target because we are a safe harbor for those who hate. We were not chosen for this act of violence because we condone racism, because we are an enclave for extremism. We were chosen for the very fact that we are none of these things.”⁴⁴ This gesture resonated warmly with *Pākehā* settlers and non-Māori communities, as Nishhza Thiruselvam writes, Arden’s decision to wear a hijab, a move that was celebrated as progressive internationally, ‘Images of Arden wearing a hijab and comforting those impacted by the attacks have come to symbolize an idealized government that cares for the people. Yet, such gestures of care and acceptance towards Muslim communities are inconsistent with other policies implemented by Arden and her government, many of which are Islamophobic and hostile towards migrant/refugee communities and those seeking asylum.’⁴⁵ As well, this act accentuates that “if racism is ever recognized, it is either seen as an individual aberration rather than a systemic fact.”⁴⁶ The multicultural rhetoric of ‘diversity, kindness, compassion’ does nothing progressive for Muslim communities in Aotearoa-New Zealand, as it invisibilizes the brute force of white supremacy that has, and continues, to harm Māori and Muslim bodies. By instead appropriating the pain of ‘the Other’, Arden’s enactment of solidarity can appear to be problematic, as Sherene Razack states, “we think we are recognizing not only the other’s pain but his or her difference. Difference becomes the conduit of identification in much the same way pain does.”⁴⁷

Continuing, in my critical and wide reading of academic and journalistic accounts of the Christchurch Massacre I noticed a formulaic approach to how authors introduced their writings. Articles often began with a synopsis of the events of the Christchurch Massacre, the date and the place. For example, recognizing how I too have mimicked this method, in past thesis presentations I have begun my research topic with the following – “On the 15th of March 2019 Brenton Tarrant entered two mosques in Christchurch, Aotearoa-New Zealand and massacred 51 Muslims and injured 49 Muslims.” I could not understand why but this repetitive opening across journalistic and academic accounts, including my own, felt problematic. On critical reflection, I have come to realize my concern with this prescribed approach is that it treats the Christchurch Massacre as if it is an exceptional event, rather than recognizing the complex genealogy of the past and present of settler colonialism in Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia. In my critique of the formulaic openings of the Christchurch Massacre, I deploy the work of Stuart Hall (1984, p.7) who discusses the socialization of journalists into particular forms and conventions of writing:

They think it is just a set of techniques, like how to write a front-page story or how to write an inside column. But the fact of course is that journalists of very different views and dispositions can tell the same kind of story.... I know many radical journalists in the media who tell exactly the same stories: they construct events with the same kinds of language as the people who disagree with them profoundly. So there's a kind of stabilisation in the institutions and in the available discourses which are sustained in a set of known practices inside those institutions.

Hall then addresses the concept of ideology to further extrapolate how ideology effaces information when accepting practices of news expression and speech: "I am particularly interested in the practical understandings, the practical frameworks which people use, and which are largely unconscious. When people say to you, "Of course that's so, isn't it?" That "of course" is the most ideological moment, because that's the moment at which you're least aware that you are using a particular framework, and that if you used another framework the things you are talking about would have a different meaning."⁴⁸ By assessing the imitative approach to introducing the Christchurch Massacre and actively deciding to not engage with this trend in this chapter and case study I seek to align with the guidance of Hall who writes that "the first break is to cut into that notion of common sense. You simply have to interrupt the flow of the plausible."⁴⁹ The formulaic repetition of the time and place of the attack, despite necessary for the synopsis of an event, over time removes the accountability and context of the settler state in Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia. This reiterates the crux of my research – that the Christchurch Massacre is not an exceptional event, alternatively, it is an occurrence birthed through the white settler states of Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia that is then extended through the Internet as a form of digital settler colonialism. Rather than contributing another case study with a factual opening, an "of course" ideological moment, in the course of this work I seek to interrogate the Christchurch Massacre as one that does not begin on 15 March 2019, instead, begins with the onset of settler colonization in both Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia and their complex and differential white settler contexts.

THE IDEOLOGICAL MOMENT 1: ACADEMIA

The Christchurch Massacre and its discussion in Muslim and non-Muslim academic environments has largely failed to situate the attack as an extension of the settler colonial realities of both Australia and Aotearoa-New Zealand. Theorizations of Brenton Tarrant and his digital decisions to broadcast white supremacy have not considered the Christchurch Massacre as an emblem of white violence – illustrating that settler colonialism entrenches whatever forms possible, such as the Internet, and seeks to attack the Other in their places of worship, by attacking Muslims at two mosque sites, to insist racialized bodies are not welcome in the settler state. The individualizing of white supremacy at the scale of the individual, rather than exploring the Christchurch Massacre by identifying the systems that maintain racial violence, was embodied in an academic event I attended titled "Living Death: Decoding the Tarrant Manifesto" held by an anthropology PhD candidate at Macquarie University. The speaker's theoretical framework included a phenology of the digital

manifesto written by Brenton Tarrant and its manifestation in Australian local “proud boy” extremism and transnational worldviews.

The speaker began his presentation on the day by stating “This is going to be a bit harrowing isn’t it...I will be discussing the Christchurch shooting, what we can call Australia and New Zealand’s greatest massacre.”

There it was. A disregard for settler colonialism that the Christchurch shooting is grounded upon in New Zealand, and Australia, by extension of the nationality of the gunman. Through this disregard for the settler colonial contexts of Australia and Aotearoa-New Zealand, the ideological moment is reiterated.

During question time, I politely raised concern regarding his opening statement. I highlighted that the speaker had disregarded the very macro structures of settler colonialism that the Christchurch Massacre is grounded upon. I said, “I’m a Muslim, I’m not Indigenous, but I will tell you that the realities of settler colonialism against Indigenous groups in both New Zealand and Australia complicate the prospect of racial justice for Muslims like me living in either country.”

The speaker appeared defensive, replying “I’m not disregarding it, I just did not discuss it as I did not have the time to in this presentation.” He continued, “I’m not a post-colonial theorist.” I noted the conflation of post colonialism and settler colonialism together, I did not reply. You do not have to be a post-colonial theorist to acknowledge the land you are on and the land that the shooting took place on, a reality that I continue to learn and unlearn at a postgraduate level. He quickly thanked me and moved on.

An esteemed professor chimed in, gesturing toward me, “I agree, you need to discuss colonization.” It was only then that the speaker said, “I agree with both of you.” When I was spoken about in that moment, it was in a group of two. He added to the academic, “I have years of experience of Native Title, I understand the importance of colonization.” It was very strange to me, for the speaker to have worked in Native Title, but make an educated opinion to leave settler colonialism out of his exploration of the shooting. However, upon reflection I thought perhaps he did not think of settler colonialism at all.

“Internet culture,” the “alt-right” and digitized mass shootings are emerging and popular explorations in both academia and journalism. They are trendy, well received and timely just as they are integral areas I hope to contribute to. However, by leaving out factors such as race, power and settler colonialism, they skim the surface of events such as the Christchurch Massacre and distract from the structures that maintain them. The reality of the Christchurch Massacre must be met with a discussion of the relations of power that allowed it to happen both online and offline. I felt that the brute force of the Christchurch Massacre, rooted in settler colonialism and the Internet, was not heard by those around me. Only when another academic voiced agreeing with me was I met with some consideration. Before that, I was cast aside, quickly overlooked, my commentary minimized.

This event showcased to me that the Christchurch Massacre was not an exceptional event byway of situating it as an act of foreign violence. Rather, it was a violent act of white settler colonialism, conducted by Australian white supremacist Brenton Tarrant, on stolen land in Aotearoa-New Zealand. By killing 51 Muslims and injuring 49 Muslims at two mosques in the settler colonial state of New Zealand Tarrant embarks on what Ghassan Hage classifies as ‘a nationalist practice of exclusion.’⁵⁰ Tarrant’s apparent right to dictate the racial fabric

of nations evokes consequences for Muslims as an act that has a sustained history and continuation in the body of the white nation. Tarrant utilizes the right of the white settler, a nativized and legitimate role as a tool to sustain the privilege of the dominant group to remind the Other of their subordinate place.⁵¹ Therefore, in murdering Muslims, Tarrant extends the settler colonial legacies of Australia digitally and physically and seeks to eternally haunt racialized bodies from their being in the white nation. It is the weaponization of Muslim bodies in the site of the Christchurch Massacre that Tarrant utilizes to assert the white sovereign. I ask in lieu of this academic event, what could recognizing the Indigenous violence that the Christchurch Massacre is grounded upon bring digital media and race studies of the event, other than encourage critical and intersectional investigations of race, power and the Internet?

THE IDEOLOGICAL MOMENT 2: COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Muslim and Indigenous engagement has a long history in Australia, albeit not often evoked or discussed in studies of Muslim relations and Islamophobia in the present settler colonial landscape of Australia. Scholars have acknowledged the peaceful transactions that took place between Macassan fishermen and Indigenous Australians such as Poynting who explores this history:

Historically, Muslims came to the Australian continent before Europeans...They traded and otherwise interrelated with Aboriginal peoples of the north, leaving traces of their language, and indeed their religion, as well as artefacts, in local Indigenous cultures. There was some intermarriage. Most anthropological accounts indicate peaceable relations, certainly devoid of warfare. Thus, while Muslims came regularly over this time and stayed for extended periods, there was no Islamophobia. Armed British colonialism eventually put a stop to the seasonal migrations, and the trade. It also introduced Islamophobia.⁵²

As an Australian Muslim, I have seen many Muslims point to the history of Muslim engagement with Indigenous Australians before the settler colonial project of Australia. However, I am critical of those who seek to evoke this history with no engagement between Indigenous Australians and Muslims presently – why has this discussion halted here and remained a symbol of past Indigenous and Muslim engagement, rather than a possible extension for future solidarity? By acknowledging how settler colonialism and white supremacy structure racial violence against Muslims in the Christchurch Massacre, I align with the work of Andrew Brooks (2020), ‘Any project that seeks to reconfigure the historical terms of political subjectivity must engage seriously with Black and Indigenous study, and with the irreducible relation of Blackness and Indigeneity (which in the Australian context come together in the same body).’

This is a history and criticism I remained cognizant of on the 21st of November where I attended the “Collaborative Approaches to Counter the Extremist Right-Wing and Islamophobia” Conference, an event organized to address the Christchurch Massacre and community engagement strategies. However, I was left with so many questions

about how complacent Muslims are in the settler state. The event began with a beautiful acknowledgment of country by Graham Davis King, a Wiradjuri and Ngiyampaa artist and activist, who shared Indigenous histories of Parramatta and the importance of the local rivers. He welcomed the Muslim and non-Muslim academic community who sought to address Islamophobia in occupied, Indigenous Australia.

The Director for the Centre of Islamic and Civilization Studies at Charles Stuart University then opened the conference. During his speech he called for “social cohesion and resilience” and stressed the role of conversation to address Islamophobia. I wondered how many Muslims had attempted *dawah*,⁵³ sharing Islamic faith with others to teach about Islam, with the likes of white supremacists like Brenton Tarrant, hoping it would make a difference. “The times have changed,” said a spokesperson from the Islamic Council of Queensland. “I still think Australia is a beautiful country and I think it is up to Australia to remain so.” I immediately thought of the recent death of Kumanjayi Walker, a 19-year-old Indigenous boy who was shot dead by a policeman who will plead not guilty.⁵⁴ Chief Executive of Police Federation of Australia Scott Weber told the press that “There has been a death, but in saying that too, we have a police officer that’s life and career has been taken away from them as well.”⁵⁵ The life of a 19-year-old Indigenous boy was conflated with a police career.

During an “Outcomes and Solutions” session, the conference attendees were organized into groups to identify issues regarding Islamophobia and far right extremism. Sitting on the same table as many of the conference speakers and organizers, I raised my concerns regarding how Muslims are punished within structures of settler colonialism online and offline. When I did this, I was asked by the same man who opened the conference after an Indigenous welcome to country, “Aren’t Indigenous rights another discussion? How are they relevant here?” It seemed that the moment that the Indigenous elder had left the conference, Indigenous history was quickly cast aside to deal with Islamophobia. The Muslim academics speaking at the event showcased a severe disregard for Indigenous Australia, but also, a disenfranchisement for Indigenous and Māori Muslims in responding to the Christchurch Massacre. Alluding to the romanticization of Arab land for Muslims and his tie to sacred land, Indigenous Muslim academic Dr John Andrew Morrow shares the following, which we may draw upon in understanding the complexities of both Indigenous and Muslim identities, as they both remain demonized and unsettled:

*Some Muslims may travel to Arabia, Iraq, and Iran in search of spiritual satisfaction: I find mine here, on my land, the land of my ancestors. Although I have been offered employment in Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, and Iran, I refuse to leave Turtle Island. I would rather perform *tawaf* or circumambulation with the Miami Nation, the Chippewa Nation, and the Métis Nation than performing it in Wahhabi-occupied Arabia where Islam merely exists in name.⁵⁶*

A Muslim lawyer and an advocate with a “passion for community work, protecting human rights,” as stated on her online profile, asked if I feel that by “clumping Indigenous rights and Muslim rights together,” that I am doing a disservice to both. I thought of my Indigenous Muslim brothers and sisters who feel that they must choose a side rather than feel the wrath of both Indigenous dispossession and Islamophobia. An approach cognizant of this reality,

as evoked by the Australian Critical Race and Whiteness Studies Association (2019) who shared the following solidarity with the Muslim community following the Christchurch Massacre, was not echoed by my Muslim brothers and sisters at this event:

We recognize that Islamophobia in Australia cannot be fought without grounding it in a relational understanding of the racial colonial that sets specific forms of racial rule within the context of the persistent negative impact of colonization on First Nations people. We applaud the solidarity actions by First Nations people with Muslim people, while recognizing that there are many Muslim people who are also Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander.⁵⁷

I felt disappointed with the Muslim community, recognizing how Muslims, like the speaker at the academic Christchurch Massacre event I attended, can be complacent regarding settler colonialism and disregard how it intersects to punish Muslim communities. I felt that there was a disregard of the white settler state and the harm it exudes through its maintenance. Whether this is because it is too ‘radical’ to suggest that systems can cause racial violence or whether this was not realistic or productive to other attendees reminded of the following, “This unlearning of colonial and racist readings of Others and cultivating ways of ethically beginning to know the Other, to acknowledge and recognize the Other in ways that is outside of liberal forms of recognition practiced by the white settler state is perhaps one of the more important challenges for all those of us who see ourselves as people of color.”⁵⁸ Corresponding, Māori academic Khylee Quince recounts in her analysis of the Christchurch Massacre, “The portrayal of Muslim and Māori as savage, misogynistic, undeserving, troublesome and uncultured is a narrative we have lived with since colonization stepped on to our foreshore.”⁵⁹ I was reminded of the wealth of digital data I found from online white supremacists who dehumanized Māori Muslim converts and Māori activists – how stolen land was a conscious theme that was mocked online by Brenton Tarrant’s supporters.

A non-Muslim professional turned to me after the session ended. She said, “I get what you’re saying. How can we fix Islamophobia on such rocky foundations? Look at how we treat the Indigenous here.” I wish she had supported me when I was speaking. Rather, again, I was cast aside, quickly overlooked, my commentary minimized. However, the importance of the following question was reiterated: What are the grounds for the highlighting the Islamophobia that the Christchurch Massacre charges without acknowledging the spaces, discourses and institutions of the settler state?

THE WHITE SETTLER: EXTERMINATING THE MUSLIM

Acknowledging the differentials of Indigenous and Muslim violence, I seek to contribute how, the Christchurch Massacre, and the racial violence it charges, occurs through the structure and justification of white settler colonialism. Put simply, when we eliminate Muslims, it helps the prospect of the white settler state in removing its Others. Exercising this reflexivity of the dangers of disavowing Islamophobia, as a Muslim myself, seeks to highlight how instrumental Islamophobia was in the Christchurch Massacre and the histories and continuances of anti-Muslim racial violence, Orientalism and Islamophobia

that are implicated in the Christchurch Massacre. In my extensive case study of the Christchurch Massacre and its actor, Brenton Tarrant, my understanding is simply as following: it was because of Islamophobia that 51 Muslims died and 49 Muslims were injured. Specific, Muslim, racialized bodies were targeted as a direct result of Islamophobia and racial violence. However, it was because of settler colonialism and white supremacy that Tarrant felt justified to do so, to take life, to shed blood. Therefore, I seek to understand how Muslims are punished through structures of settler colonialism in the course of this study and utilize settler colonial and whiteness studies to conduct this analysis. In doing so, I align with Salman Sayyid's conceptualization of Islamophobia as repertoire, "The range of activities covered by Islamophobia exceed its common formulations; rather it occurs as a response to the problematization of Muslim identity."⁶⁰ Randa Abdel Fattah reiterates the importance of Sayyid's approach to theorizing Islamophobia – "Sayyid rejects the populist formulation of Islamophobia as hatred or fear of Islam or Muslims" and seeks to encourage the multiplicities of Islamophobia that are beyond a simplistic or reductive definition.⁶¹ Therefore, moved by Abdel-Fattah I seek to explore 'Islamophobia's symbiotic relationship with racial Australianization, and the historical and contemporary logics of Australia's racial state.'⁶² In other words, in the course of this study of the Christchurch Massacre, I examine how both a case of both Islamophobia and settler colonialism work together in the context of Australian and Aotearoa-New Zealander settlerness and digital settler colonialism. As Poynting marks the importance of situating Islamophobia in larger structures of racial power, "I want to underline here that this racism that is Islamophobia, like most modern racisms, arises from colonialism, from imperialism: it is rooted in empire (see, in the Australian context, Al-Asaad 2014; Abdel-Fattah 2017; Lentin 2017; Morsi 2017)."⁶³

It is integral to not disregard Islamophobia when studying settler colonialism, as integral as I believe it is to examine settler colonialism when studying Islamophobia, as they both racially terrorize racialized bodies outside of the Muslim victims brutally massacred in the Christchurch Massacre. As Sherene Razack argues, Muslims are spatially evicted from Western law and politics, their tenuous presence always in a place that is at risk of being physically or symbolically ousted.⁶⁴ This eviction happens along a spectrum of "stigmatization, surveillance, incarceration, abandonment, torture, and bombs. White violence and a dissonance to the realities of settler colonial violence is further seen where Azeezah Kanji and David Palumbo-Liu problematize studies that 'mirrored' the discourse between the Christchurch Massacre and ISIS, writing "They imply that the mass violence at Christchurch can only be understood as an emanation of a foreign phenomenon – perpetuating Islamophobic representations of Muslims as the benchmark for barbarism."⁶⁵ Brenton Tarrant's disavowal of Islamophobia is, aside from the glaring fact that the attack was actually conducted in the name of ethnic replacement, a right that I expand on that emerges from settler colonialism, is further illustrated in his manifesto where he asks writes the following:

Were/are you a "Islamophobe?"

No, I am not afraid of Islam, only that, due to its high fertility rates, it will grow to replace other peoples and faiths.⁶⁶

Tarrant's biopolitical focus on fertility rates showcases how "Claims of invasion and colonization function powerfully through the [fourth theme] of the "Other Islamic Bomb" which frames Muslim women's fertility as the vehicle of the invasion and colonization."⁶⁷ However, settler colonialism is most obviously embodied here in Tarrant's assumed right to remove racialized peoples, as Ghassan Hage marks, "An image of national space is a prerequisite for the nationalist's capacity to classify others as undesirable. Just as much as an image of the nation, however, what is also implicit in this mode of classification is an image of the nationalist as someone with a managerial capacity over this national space. Such a space has to be perceived as one's own national space."⁶⁸ Tarrant canonizes the role of the white settler by thus exterminating the Muslim threat, highlighting that the settler state "is a society that, whether latently or otherwise, feels it is perpetually under threat, and as such furnishes itself with the means to eliminate whatever it deems to instantiate this threat. More than any other colonizing group, settlers have embodied the colonial tendency and the collective will to exterminate, rather than subjugate, the colonized. In other words, the colonized must be discursively "prepared" for extermination."⁶⁹ In this way, we can see the authorization of Brenton Tarrant to conduct racial violence by way of his role as a settler, "The settler, if known by his actions and how he justifies them, sees himself as holding dominion over the earth and its flora and fauna, as the anthropocentric normal, and as more developed, more human, more deserving than other groups or species. The settler is making a new "home" and that home is rooted in a homesteading worldview where the wild land and wild people were made for his benefit."⁷⁰ The role of the white settler, as one who is *truly* Australian, nativizes whiteness and thus justifies white violence, depicting who does and does not belong in the white imaginary, as Pugliese and Perera⁷¹ state, "Within the schema of prosthetic white citizenship, citizenship signifies tautologically as white, and thus as both self-evidently embodied and nativized."

When Tarrant encroached upon places of religious worship, he sought to instill fear in Muslims and promote white settler colonialism globally through an Internet that let him. This symbolized to Muslims "you are not only unsafe, you are also unwelcome and you will literally be removed." Moreover, this highlighted the operation of whiteness as a "macropolitical structuration of power" in the context of racially inscribed bodies, subjectivities and practices, where sites of religious and cultural worship are problematized through the targeting of Al Noor Mosque and Linwood Islamic Centre in Christchurch, Aotearoa-New Zealand.⁷² By distributing the targeting of mosques digitally not only does Tarrant racialize a place of routine, peaceful worship for Muslims, he illustrates the "somatic metonyms of an incipient criminality – linking particular racialized bodies to the always-anticipated future anterior of the terrorist event," through the justifying of mosques as hosts for Muslim extremism.⁷³ This is seen in Tarrant's manifesto where he writes "The Christchurch and Linwood mosques had far more invaders, in a more prominent and optically foreign building, with a prior history of extremism" and that they became ideal targets after witnessing Facebook posts from their social media sites that suggested the mosques were "only for Muslims" that "proved their knowledge of their actions and guilt."⁷⁴ Tarrant's justification for targeting mosques mimics counter terror extremism raids prevalent in both Australia and Aotearoa-New Zealand, as Muslim bodies, cultural items and religious spaces become associated with "could-be" terrorists.⁷⁵ Here, "the modern State

can scarcely function without becoming involved with racism at some point, within certain limits and subject to certain conditions,” laying the foundations for further extensions of white violence that is enacted at the Christchurch Massacre through racialized criminality.⁷⁶ Similarly, both Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia contain Indigenous and Māori sites that are contained with a white hegemonic hold by settler institutions. For example, the battle waged by Australian politician Pauline Hanson who affirms white sovereignty by violating Indigenous demands to not climb the site, comparing the closing of Uluru to “closing down Bondi Beach,” a fellow site located on Indigenous land that is tied to Australia’s white national identity.⁷⁷ An assertion of white sovereignty is further illustrated in ongoing disputes regarding a ‘Special Housing Area’ land project on sacred and occupied Māori land, Ihumatao, a site that contains evidence of settler occupation.⁷⁸ As a result, it is integral to highlight the white supremacist violence echoed in attacking sites of sacred worship beyond the Christchurch Massacre that are rooted in white governmentality in both settler states. Tarrant weaponizes important sites for Muslims, furthered by settler institutions who criminalize Islamic religiosity and equip white anxieties, apparent in Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia from their very inception with regard to Indigenous and Māori sites that demonstrate the brute force of settler occupation.

The white supremacy embodied in the Christchurch Massacre seeks to turn a familiar space macabre – the mosque. The white hand, a tool of elimination, is exhibited here by Tarrant as well as exacerbated through the “white cursor” of digital technology, as video copies of the livestream remain across the Internet despite widescale attempt to remove its digital trace. A wealth of literature has been written regarding white violence forcing Muslim communities to retreat inward, an insidious form of assimilation.⁷⁹ The Christchurch Massacre causes the Muslim community to be met with another decision to make: the peace afforded through religiosity at sites of worship, demonstrating *īmān*,⁸⁰ faith, or peace of mind away from the threat of white violence by not risking entering these sites. In this way, attending a mosque becomes a risk Muslims must consider, a further politicized act against white supremacy. Not only does this highlight the “unsettled, disturbed form of authority” of whiteness⁸¹ but also the “insidious essence of violence induced from robbing the Other” of a peaceful, routine place of worship being problematized, resonating with Indigenous and Māori communities all too familiar.⁸² When the Christchurch shooting becomes but a memory for the state, it resonates eerily beyond its newsworthiness for Muslim racialized subjects. As Farid Farid writes “Bodies that defy the limits of their racially inscribed signification and that do not fit within the racially vacuous schema of whiteness are pathologically positioned as uncontrollable incursions in the geopolitical permanence of the colonial-settler state.”⁸³ Here, the mosque becomes a site of organizing terror exposing “the multiple somatic violence’s of whiteness” and how it operates to punish its Others in settler colonies.⁸⁴ The authority that is white supremacy “allows” race through then introducing and reaffirming “the logics of anti-Indigenous racism, genocide and orientalism” and these logics shift and becoming re-signified across time, space and place by then enacting white violence onto non Indigenous bodies who do not belong in the white sovereign.⁸⁵ White supremacist violence embodied in the Christchurch shooting and exacerbated through the Internet requires us “to view white supremacy not as a static ideology or condition, but to instead focus on its geographic and temporal contingency” that allows acts of settler

violence by individuals on politicized bodies.⁸⁶ By disregarding the brute force of white supremacy that sought to terrorize racialized bodies prior to the Christchurch Massacre, the white settler state had an active role in further policing Muslims, showcasing how the white settler state “singles out Muslims as a “problem” in multicultural Australia.”⁸⁷ This highlights how “the relationship between Islamophobia and settler colonialism means that anti-Muslim violence cannot be addressed simply as a problem of individual ‘hate crime’”⁸⁸ because “settler colonialism is built upon an entangled triad structure of settler-native-slave, the decolonial desires of white, non-white, immigrant, postcolonial, and oppressed people, can similarly be entangled in resettlement, reoccupation, and reinhabitation that actually further settler colonialism.”⁸⁹ This corresponds with Claire Renzetti’s argument that “criminologists theorize that committing a hate crime is a means of accomplishing a particular type of power, hegemonic masculinity, which is described as white, Christian, able-bodied and heterosexual.”⁹⁰ This violent white masculinity occupies a hegemonic hold in the formation, reproduction and extension of the settler state that is then shared, affirmed and idolized through the Internet.⁹¹ Before we study the giant of the Internet and how it may extend white supremacy, through the term that I have coined, digital settler colonialism, we must understand, investigate and interrogate how this permeates race relations for Indigenous, black and racialized bodies offline.

Moving forward, sympathies for the Muslim community, as we pass the second-year anniversary of the Christchurch Massacre on Indigenous land that continues to be occupied, must be met with the recognition of whiteness as Moreton-Robinson theorizes as the “invisible regime of power that secures hegemony through discourse and has material effects in everyday life,” so to not reinforce white sovereignty and governmentality that disregards Indigenous sovereignty.⁹² I urge further considerations aligning that “Studying these events, their genesis and impacts, are important research activities, yet unless they are situated in a global context, they risk creating another version of color-blind racism, one that endorses solving a problem by explicitly ignoring its root structural causes.”⁹³ This article has argued that exacerbations of Islamophobia, such as the Christchurch Massacre, must be met with close study of the system of relations that allow white supremacists to target racialized subjects – settler colonialism. Recounting on my case study of the Christchurch massacre, I have situated the grievances with the Muslim and academic community that motivated and reiterated the importance of both my study and my theoretical approach to studying Islamophobia and racial violence. As is applied to the case of the settler state of Canada and racial justice for Muslims, “It is futile to ask for justice for Muslims in a nation-state where the genocide, the continual extermination of its Indigenous peoples, is a matter of dull and daily state affairs. Our politics must unsettle these daily practices of violence.”⁹⁴ Approaches that attempt to theorize the Christchurch Massacre must take a media and race specific approach that is situated in the larger formation of the white settler colonial state, dissecting the intertwining of white racial violence online and offline that seeks to reinforce racial hierarchies in occupied Aotearoa-New Zealand and Australia, as well as other settler colonies such as Canada, occupied Palestine and the United States of America, due to a growing trend in these geographies for white settler anxieties and the role of the white native to be practiced both online, via a racialized Internet, as well as offline, on subjugated land.

NOTES

1. Ann Laura Stoller, *Duress: Imperial Durabilities in Our Times* (Durham: Duke University Press, 2016), 4; Sarah de Leeuw and Sarah Hunt, “Unsettling decolonizing geographies”, *Geography Compass* 12, no. 7 (2018): 4.
2. Digital settler colonialism, I argue, refers to the production, maintenance and use of the Internet to extend settler colonialism and reiterate the power of the white sovereign online. The white cursor of technology, the Internet, becomes a tool used to “eliminate the native,” Indigenous subjects, and in addition, to “exterminate the Other,” amongst others, racialized Muslim subjects, to extend the legacy of the white settler state. Where we understand that settler colonialism both marks the racialized body and colonizes land, I argue that the Internet extends this through its digital operations while appearing racially neutral.
3. Ta-Nehsi, *Between the World and Me* (New York: Random House Publishing Group, 2015): 11.
4. *Allāhu yarḥamuhu* is an Arabic expression used in the Islamic faith when someone has passed away.
5. Randa Abdel-Fattah, *Islamophobia and Everyday Multiculturalism in Australia* (Oxon: Routledge, 2017): 13.
6. Ruth Frankenburg, *White women, race matters: The social construction of whiteness*, (London: Taylor & Francis, 1993); Toni Morrison, *Playing in the Dark: Whiteness and the Literary Imagination* (Cambridge, 1992); David Roediger, *The Wages of Whiteness* (London: Verso Books, 1991).
7. Lorenzo Veracini, *Settler Colonialism: A Theoretical Overview* (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010); Patrick Wolfe, “Nation and miscegeNation: discursive continuity in the post-Mabo era”, *Social Analysis* 36 (1994): 93–152; Patrick Wolfe, *Settler Colonialism* (London: A&C Black, 1999); Patrick Wolfe, “Settler Colonialism and the Elimination of the Native”, *Journal of Genocide Research* 8, no. 4 (2006): 387–409.
8. Lorenzo Veracini, *Settler Colonialism: A Theoretical Overview*, 20.
9. Aileen Moreton-Robinson, *Whitening Race: Essays in social and cultural criticism*, (Canberra: Aboriginal Studies Press, 2004), 76.
10. Patrick Wolfe, “Settler Colonialism and the Elimination of the Native”, 388.
11. *Ibid.*
12. Don Fuller, Myles Howard and Eileen Cummings, “The Impact of Institutional Racism upon Indigenous Economic and Human Development in Australia”, *Development in Practice* 14, no. 4 (2004): 559–568; Gawaian Bodkin-Andrews and Bronwyn Carlson, “The legacy of racism and Indigenous Australian identity within education”, *Race Ethnicity and Education* 19, no. 4 (2016): 784–807.
13. Ruth Wilson Gilmore, *Golden Gulag: Prisons, Surplus, Crisis, and Opposition in Globalizing California*, (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004), 16.
14. Anne Bonds and Joshua Inwood, “Beyond white privilege: Geographies of white supremacy and settler colonialism”, *Progress in Human Geography* 40, no. 6 (2016): 715.
15. Aileen Moreton-Robinson, *Whitening Race: Essays in social and cultural criticism*, 78.
16. Nigel Murphy, “Māori land and ‘Yellow Peril’: Discourses of Māori and Chinese in the Formation of New Zealand’s National Identity 1890–1914” in Manying Ip (ed.), *The Dragon & the Taniwha: Māori and Chinese in New Zealand*, (Auckland: University Press, 2009), 56–88.
17. Jessica Terruhn, “Being Pākehā: White Settler Narratives of Politics, Identity and Belonging in Aotearoa/New Zealand”, Dissertation Thesis, The University of Auckland (2015): 24.
18. *Ibid.*, 21.
19. Anne Smith, “Indigeneity, settler colonialism, white supremacy”, in Daniel Martinez HoSang, Oneka LaBennett and Laura Pulido (ed.) *Racial Formation in the Twenty-First Century*, (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2012): 67.

20. Ghassan Hage, *White Nation: Fantasies of White Supremacy in a Multicultural Society* (Sydney: Pluto Press, 1998), Moreton-Robinson, *Whitening Race: Essays in social and cultural criticism*, Suvendrini Perera and Joseph Pugliese, “White Law of the Biopolitical”, *Journal of the European Association of Studies on Australia* 3, no. 1 (2012): 87–100, Myra Willard *History of the White Australia policy to 1920* (Melbourne: Melbourne University Press, 1967).
21. Ghassan Hage, *White Nation: Fantasies of White Supremacy in a Multicultural Society*, 18.
22. Anne Bonds and Joshua Inwood, “Beyond white privilege: Geographies of white supremacy and settler colonialism”, 715.
23. Scott Poynting, “Islamophobia Kills But Where Does It Come From?”, *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy* 8, no. 4, (2020): 2.
24. Azeezah Kanji and David Palumbo-Liu, “Settler Colonialism Lurked Beneath the Christchurch Shooting”, TruthOut.Org, April 6 2019, <https://truthout.org/articles/settler-colonialism-lurked-beneath-the-christchurch-massacre/>.
25. Ibid.
26. Khylee Quince, “‘This is not us’: But actually, it is. Talking about when to raise the issue of colonisation”, *New Zealand Journal of Psychology* 48, no. 1 (2019): 141.
27. Azeezah Kanji and David Palumbo-Liu, “Settler Colonialism Lurked Beneath the Christchurch Shooting”; Khylee Quince, “‘This is not us’: But actually, it is. Talking about when to raise the issue of colonisation”, 140–145; Scott Poynting, “Islamophobia Kills But Where Does It Come From?”, 1–14.
28. However, the three papers do not consider the online dimensions of the Christchurch Massacre, a gap I focused on in the course of my postgraduate research. This does not deviate from the centrality of their argument, as the offline world presents enough cause for concern to elaborate on across the three articles without also having to consider the large field of study that is the online world. I thereby hope to complement these studies with the specific analysis of the online components of the shooting, their connection with settler colonialism and, in turn, how this connection works to create what I term digital *settler colonialism*.
29. Aimee Carrillo Rowe and Eve Tuck, “Settler Colonialism and Cultural Studies: Ongoing Settlement, Cultural Production, and Resistance”, *Cultural Studies ↔ Critical Methodologies* 17, no. 1 (2016): 8.
30. Randa Abdel Fattah, *Islamophobia and Everyday Multiculturalism in Australia*, 23.
31. Gaia Giuliani, “The Color Lines of Settler Colonialism”, *Arena* no. 37/38 (2012): 108.
32. For sources discussing New Zealand and ethnic minorities see: Daphne Bell, *New to New Zealand: a guide to ethnic groups in New Zealand* (New Zealand: Reed Publishing, 2005); Paul Spoonley, Cluny Macpherson and David Pearson, *Tangata Tangata: The Changing Ethnic Contours of New Zealand* (Victoria: Dunmore Press 2004); Sarina Pearson and Schuchi Kothari, “Menus for a Multicultural New Zealand”, *Continuum: Journal of Media and Cultural Studies* 21, no. 1 (2007): 45–58. For sources discussing Australia and ethnic minorities see: Juliet Pietsch, *Race, Ethnicity and the Participation Gap: Understanding Australia’s Political Complexion* (Canada: University of Toronto Press, 2018); Myra Willard *History of the White Australia policy to 1920* (Melbourne: Melbourne University Press, 1967); Robin Hartley, *Families and Cultural Diversity in Australia* (Sydney: Allen & Unwin, 1995).
33. Jessica Terruhn, “Being Pākehā: White Settler Narratives of Politics, Identity and Belonging in Aotearoa/New Zealand”, 182.
34. Luke Munn, “Algorithmic Hate: Brenton Tarrant and the Dark Social Web”, <https://www.voxpol.eu/algorithmic-hate-brenton-tarrant-and-the-dark-social-web/>.

35. John McDuling, "How Facebook's hour of inaction enabled the Christchurch video", *Sydney Morning Herald*, 5 June 2019, <https://www.smh.com.au/business/companies/how-facebook-s-hour-of-inaction-enabled-the-christchurch-video-to-spread-20190319-p515k6.html>.
36. Brenton Tarrant utilized the Internet to further the Christchurch Massacre digitally in the following ways: a Facebook livestreamed attack that was shared and announced on /pol thread 8chan, writing: "well lads, it's time to stop shitposting and make a real-life effort post. I will carry out and attack against the invader, and will even live stream the attack via Facebook" (Wilson 2019). The livestream video engaged with anonymous Internet users on various sites including 8chan who celebrated the attack in real time. Tarrant shared his self-written manifesto on Twitter, Steam, Facebook, 4chan and 8chan. Tarrant also shared a YouTube channel with playlist of white supremacist videos across social media profiles.
37. Patrick Wolfe, "Settler Colonialism and the Elimination of the Native", 387; Aileen Moreton-Robinson, *Whitening Race: Essays in social and cultural criticism*, 7.
38. Raka Shome, "Whiteness and the politics of location: postcolonial reflections", in Judith N Martin and Thomas K Nakayama (ed.) *Whiteness: The Communication of Social Identity* (London: Sage Publications, 1999), 107.
39. Ruth Wilson Gilmore, *Golden Gulag: Prisons, Surplus, Crisis, and Opposition in Globalizing California*, 16.
40. Nishhza Thiruselvam, "Care ethics and narratives of the "grateful refugee" and "model minority": A postcolonial observation of New Zealand in the wake of the Christchurch terror attacks", *Women's Studies Journal* 33, no. 1–2 (2019), 66.
41. Minelle Mahtani, "Toxic geographies: absences in critical race thought and practice in social and cultural geography", *Social & Cultural Geography* 15, no. 4 (2014), 360.
42. Craig W Blatz, Karina Schumann and Michael Ross, "Government Apologies for Historical Injustices", *Political Psychology* 30, no. 2 (2009): 219–241; Francesca Dominello, "Political Apologies and their Challenges in Achieving Justice for Indigenous Peoples in Australia and Canada", *Oñati Social-legal Series* 7, no. 2 (2017): 277–303; Robyn Wiegman, "Whiteness Studies and the Paradox of Particularity", *Boundary* 26, no. 3 (1999): 115–150.
43. Anne Bonds and Joshua Inwood, "Beyond white privilege: Geographies of white supremacy and settler colonialism", 728.
44. Sahar Ghumkor, "The hypocrisy of New Zealand's 'this is not us' claim," *Al Jazeera*, 20 March, viewed 11 August, 2019, <https://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/hypocrisy-zealand-claim-190319104526942.html>.
45. Nishhza Thiruselvam, "Care ethics and narratives of the "grateful refugee" and "model minority", 64.
46. Moana Jackson, "Space, Race, Bodies – A Conference Theme, A Timely Reminder", *Journal of Social Anthropology and Cultural Studies* 14, no. 1 (2017): 7.
47. Sherene Razack, "Stealing the Pain of Others: Reflections on Canadian Humanitarian Responses", *Review of Education, Pedagogy, and Cultural Studies* 29, no. 4 (2007): 379.
48. Stuart Hall, "The Narrative Construction of Reality", *Southern Review* 17 (1984): 8.
49. *Ibid*, 12.
50. Ghassan Hage, *White Nation: Fantasies of White Supremacy in a Multicultural Society*, 47.
51. Barbara Perry, *In the Name of Hate*, 43.
52. Scott Poynting, "Islamophobia Kills But Where Does It Come From?", *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy* 8, no. 4 (2020): 4.
53. Commonly used in interfaith work by Muslims, *dawah*, this term is often used to describe how Muslims teach others about the beliefs and practices of their Islamic faith for the purpose of converting non-Muslims or teaching about Islam. I am not optimistic about these measures when used for addressing misunderstandings

about Islam, Islamophobia and countering racist narratives. The organizers of the conference, however, encourage these measures to address race relations, stigmas and issues, whereas I am more concerned with systemic and hegemonic manifestations of race.

54. Kumanjayi Walker was fatally shot at his home in Yuendumu, 300 kilometers from Alice Springs, on the evening of 9 November after allegedly attacking a police officer. The death resulted in mourning and activism from the Indigenous community around Australia.

55. Amy Culpitt, "Police Federation speaks out over murder charge for constable Zachary Rolfe after death of Kumanjayi Walker", *Australian Broadcasting Corporation*, 2019, <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2019-11-22/police-federation-of-australia-condemns-charge-against-rolfe/11730078>.

56. John Andrew Morrow, "Part 2: Being and becoming Métis and Muslim", *Khaleafa*, 2019, <http://www.khaleafa.com/khaleafacom/tag/Dr+John+Andrew+Morrow>.

57. ACRAWSAADMIN, "For Muslim People", *Australian Critical Race and Whiteness Studies Association*, 2019, <https://acrawsa.org.au/2019/03/19/for-muslims/>.

58. Shaista Patel, Ghaida Moussa and Nishant Upadhyay, "Guest Editorial: Complicities, Connections and Struggles: Critical Transnational Feminist Analysis of Settler Colonialism", *Feral Feminisms*, 2015, <https://feralfeminisms.com/complicities-connections-struggles/>.

59. Khylee Quince, "'This is not us': But actually, it is. Talking about when to raise the issue of colonisation", 140.

60. Salman Sayyid, "A Measure of Islamophobia", *Islamophobia Studies Journal* 2, no. 1 (2014): 14.

61. Randa Abdel-Fattah, *Islamophobia and Everyday Multiculturalism in Australia*, 4.

62. *Ibid.*, 12.

63. Scott Poynting, "Islamophobia Kills But Where Does It Come From?", 4.

64. Sherene Razack, *Casting Out: The Eviction of Muslims from Western Law and Politics* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2008).

65. Azeezah Kanji and David Palumbo-Liu, "Settler Colonialism Lurked Beneath the Christchurch Shooting".

66. Brenton Tarrant, *The Great Replacement* (2019).

67. Cynthia S Gorman and Karen Culcasi, 'Invasion and colonization: Islamophobia and anti-refugee sentiment in West Virginia,' *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space* (2020).

68. Ghassan Hage, *White Nation: Fantasies of White Supremacy in a Multicultural Society*, 42.

69. Faisal Al-Asaad, "Exterminating the Other: The Christchurch Massacre, Islamophobia and Settler Colonialism", *Arena Magazine*, no. 159, April, 2019, https://arena.org.au/__trashed/.

70. Eve Tuck, "Decolonization is not a metaphor", *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society* 1, no. 1 (2012): 6.

71. Suvendrini Perera and Joseph Pugliese, "In an Impaired State: Settler Racial Logic and Prosthetic Citizenship in Australia", *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society* (2020).

72. Joseph Pugliese and Susan Stryker, "The somatechnics of race and whiteness", *Social Semiotics* 19, no. 1 (2009): 1.

73. *Ibid.*, 2.

74. Brenton Tarrant, *The Great Replacement*, 11–12.

75. Randa Abdel Fattah, "The stigmatisation of Muslims as 'could-be terrorists' has produced a toxic social order", *The Guardian*, 9 October 2015, <https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2015/oct/09/the-stigmatisation-of-muslims-as-could-be-terrorists-has-produced-a-toxic-social-order>.

76. Michel Foucault, *Society Must be Defended* (New York: Picador, 2003) 254.

77. Biwa Kwan, "Pauline Hanson compares stopping Uluru climbers to 'closing down Bondi Beach,' *Special Broadcasting Service*, 16 July 2019, <https://www.sbs.com.au/news/pauline-hanson-compares-stopping-uluru-climbers-to-closing-down-bondi-beach>.
78. Shannon Haunui-Thompson, "Explainer: Why Ihumātao is being occupied by 'protectors'", *RNZ*, 24 July 2019, <https://www.rnz.co.nz/news/icymi/395121/explainer-why-ihumatao-is-being-occupied-by-protectors>.
79. Barbara Perry, *In the Name of Hate* (New York: Routledge, 2001); Paul Iganski, *Hate crime and the city* (Bristol: The Policy Press, 2008); Scott Poynting and Barbara Perry, "Climates of Hate: Media and State Inspired Victimisation of Muslims in Canada and Australia since 9/11", *Current Issues in Criminal Justice* 19, no. 2 (2007): 151–172.
80. In Islamic theology *Imaan* denotes a believer's faith, religiosity and connection the metaphysical aspects of Islam.
81. Farid Farid, "Terror at the beach: Arab bodies and the somatic violence of white cartographic anxiety in Australia and Palestine/Israel", *Social Semiotics* 19, no. 1, (2009): 62.
82. *Ibid*, 65.
83. *Ibid*, 62.
84. Joseph Pugliese and Susan Stryker, "The somatechnics of race and whiteness", 2.
85. Anne Smith, "Indigeneity, settler colonialism, white supremacy", 64.
86. Anne Inwood and Joshua Bonds, "Beyond white privilege: Geographies of white supremacy and settler colonialism", 722.
87. Randa Abdel-Fattah, "Islamophobia and Australian Muslim Political Consciousness in the War on Terror", *Journal of Intercultural* 38, no. 4, (2017): 22.
88. Azeezah Kanji and David Palumbo-Liu, "Settler Colonialism Lurked Beneath the Christchurch Shooting".
89. Eve Tuck, "Decolonization is not a metaphor", 1.
90. Claire Renzetti, *Feminist Criminology* (London: Routledge: 2013), 136.
91. Matthew G Henry and Lawrence D Berg, "Geographers Performing Nationalism and Hetero-masculinity", *Gender, Place & Culture* 13, no.6 (2006): 629–645; Scott Lauria Morgensen, "The Biopolitics of Settler Colonialism: Right Here, Right Now", *Settler Colonial Studies* 1, no. 1 (2011): 52–76.
92. Aileen Moreton-Robinson, *Whitening Race: Essays in social and cultural criticism*, 52.
93. Louise Cainkar and Saher Selod, "Review of Race Scholarship and the War on Terror", *Sociology of Race and Ethnicity* 4, no. 2 (2018): 173.
94. Shaista Patel, "Defining Muslim Feminist Politics through Indigenous Solidarity Activism", *The Feminist Wire*, 1 August, 2020, <https://thefeministwire.com/2012/08/defining-muslim-feminist-politics-through-indigenous-solidarity-activism/>.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Fattah, Randa. "Islamophobia and Australian Muslim Political Consciousness in the War on Terror." *Journal of Intercultural* 38 no. 4 (2017): 397–411.
- Abdel-Fattah, Randa. "The stigmatisation of Muslims as 'could-be terrorists' has produced a toxic social order." *The Guardian*, 9 October, 2015, <https://www.google.com/search?client=safari&rls=en&q=The+stigmatisation+of+Muslims+as+%E2%80%99could-be+terrorists%E2%80%99+has+produced+a+toxic+social+order&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8>.
- Abdel-Fattah, Randa. *Islamophobia and Everyday Multiculturalism in Australia*. Oxon: Routledge, 2017.

- ACRAWSAADMIN. "For Muslim People." *Australian Critical Race and Whiteness Studies Association*, 19 March 2019, <https://acrawsa.org.au/2019/03/19/for-muslims/>.
- Al-Asaad, Faissal. "Exterminating the Other: The Christchurch Massacre, Islamophobia and Settler Colonialism." *Arena Magazine*, April, no. 159, 2019, https://arena.org.au/__trashed/.
- Fleras, Augie and Spoonley, Paul. *Recalling Aotearoa: Indigenous Politics and Ethnic Relations in New Zealand*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1999.
- Bell, Daphne. *New to New Zealand: A Guide to Ethnic Groups in New Zealand*. New Zealand: Reed Publishing, 2005.
- Blatz, Craig W, Schumann, Karina and Ross, Michael. "Government Apologies for Historical Injustices." *Political Psychology* 30, no. 2 (2009): 219–241.
- Bodkin-Andrews, Gawaian and Carlson, Bronwyn. "The legacy of racism and Indigenous Australian identity within education." *Race Ethnicity and Education* 19, no. 4 (2016): 784–807.
- Bonds, Anne and Inwood, Joshua. "Beyond white privilege: Geographies of white supremacy and settler colonialism." *Progress in Human Geography* 40, no. 6 (2016): 715–733.
- Cainkar, Louise and Selod, Saher. "Review of Race Scholarship and the War on Terror." *Sociology of Race and Ethnicity* 4, no. 2 (2018): 165–177.
- Coates, Ta-Nehisi. *Between the World and Me*. New York: Random House Publishing Group, 2015.
- Culpitt, Amy. "Police Federation speaks out over murder charge for constable Zachary Rolfe after death of Kumanjayi Walker." *Australian Broadcasting Corporation*, 22 November, 2019. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2019-11-22/police-federation-of-australia-condemns-charge-against-rolfe/11730078>.
- Farid, Farid. "Terror at the beach: Arab bodies and the somatic violence of white cartographic anxiety in Australia and Palestine/Israel." *Social Semiotics* 19, no. 1, (2009): 59–78.
- Foucault, Michel. *Society Must be Defended*. New York: Picador, 2003.
- Frankenburg, Ruth. 1993, *White Women, Race Matters: The Social Construction of Whiteness*. London: Taylor & Francis, 1993.
- Fuller, Don, Howard, Myles and Cummings, Eileen. "The Impact of Institutional Racism upon Indigenous Economic and Human Development in Australia." *Development in Practice* 14, no. 4 (2004): 559–568.
- Ghumkor, Sahar. "The hypocrisy of New Zealand's 'this is not us' claim." *Al Jazeera*, 20 March, 2019, <https://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/hypocrisy-zealand-claim-190319104526942.html>.
- Gilmore, Ruth Wilson. *Golden Gulag: Prisons, Surplus, Crisis, and Opposition in Globalizing California*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004.
- Giuliani, Gaia. "The Color Lines of Settler Colonialism." *Arena* no. 37/38 (2012): 105–128.
- Gorman, Cynthia S and Culcasi, Karen. "Invasion and colonization: Islamophobia and anti-refugee sentiment in West Virginia." *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space* (2020).
- Hage, Ghassan. *White Nation: Fantasies of White Supremacy in a Multicultural Society*. Sydney: Pluto Press, 1998.
- Hall, Stuart. "The Narrative Construction of Reality." *Southern Review* 17 (1984): 3–17.
- Hartley, Robin. *Families and Cultural Diversity in Australia*. Sydney: Allen & Unwin, 1995.
- Haunui-Thompson, Shannon. "Explainer: Why Ihumātao is being occupied by 'protectors'", *RNZ*, 24 July 2019, <https://www.rnz.co.nz/news/icymi/395121/explainer-why-ihumatao-is-being-occupied-by-protectors>.
- Henry, Matthew G and Berg, Lawrence D. "Geographers Performing Nationalism and Hetero-masculinity." *Gender, Place & Culture* 13, no. 6 (2006): 629–645.
- Jackson, Moana. "Space, Race, Bodies – A Conference Theme, A Timely Reminder." *Journal of Social Anthropology and Cultural Studies* 14, no. 1 (2017): 7–12.

- Kanji, Azeezah and Palumbo-Liu, David. "Settler Colonialism Lurked Beneath the Christchurch Shooting." *TruthOut.Org*, April 6, 2019, <https://truthout.org/articles/settler-colonialism-lurked-beneath-the-christ-church-massacre/>.
- Kwan, Biwa. "Pauline Hanson compares stopping Uluru climbers to 'closing down Bondi Beach.'" *Special Broadcasting Service*, 16 July 2019, <https://www.sbs.com.au/news/pauline-hanson-compares-stopping-uluru-climbers-to-closing-down-bondi-beach>.
- Mahtani, Minelle. "Toxic geographies: absences in critical race thought and practice in social and cultural geography." *Social & Cultural Geography* 15, no. 4 (2014): 356–367.
- McCubbing, Gus. "Pregnant woman feared she'd die in attack." *Liverpool Champion*, 15 September, 2020, <https://www.liverpoolchampion.com.au/story/6926589/pregnant-woman-feared-shed-die-in-attack/>
- McDuling, John. "How Facebook's hour of inaction enabled the Christchurch video." *Sydney Morning Herald*, 5 June, 2019, <https://www.smh.com.au/business/companies/how-facebook-s-hour-of-inaction-enabled-the-christchurch-video-to-spread-20190319-p515k6.html>.
- Moreton-Robinson, Aileen. *Whitening Race: Essays in Social and Cultural Criticism*. Canberra: Aboriginal Studies Press, 2004.
- Morgensen, Scott Lauria. "The Biopolitics of Settler Colonialism: Right Here, Right Now." *Settler Colonial Studies* 1, no. 1 (2011): 52–76.
- Morrow, John Andrew. "Part 2: Being and becoming Métis and Muslim", *Khaleafa*, 16 June 2019, <http://www.khaleafa.com/khaleafacom/tag/Dr+John+Andrew+Morrow>.
- Munn, Luke. "Algorithmic Hate: Brenton Tarrant and the Dark Social Web", *VoxPol*, 3 April, 2019, <https://www.voxpol.eu/algorithmic-hate-brenton-tarrant-and-the-dark-social-web/>.
- Murphy, Nigel. "Māori land and 'Yellow Peril': Discourses of Māori and Chinese in the Formation of New Zealand's National Identity 1890–1914" in Manying Ip (ed.) *The Dragon & the Taniwha: Māori and Chinese in New Zealand*. Auckland: University Press, 2009, 56–88.
- Palmer, Scott. "No plans to change the name of Tarrant Bridge – Australian council." *Newshub*, 19 March, 2019, <https://www.newshub.co.nz/home/world/2019/03/no-plans-to-change-the-name-of-tarrant-bridge-australian-council.html>.
- Patel, Shaista, Moussa, Ghaida, and Upadhyay, Nishant. "Guest Editorial: Complicities, Connections and Struggles: Critical Transnational Feminist Analysis of Settler Colonialism." *Feral Feminisms*, 2015, <https://feralfeminisms.com/complicities-connections-struggles/>.
- Patel, Shaista. "Defining Muslim Feminist Politics through Indigenous Solidarity Activism." *The Feminist Wire*, 1 August, 2020, <https://thefeministwire.com/2012/08/defining-muslim-feminist-politics-through-indigenous-solidarity-activism/>.
- Pearson, Sarina and Kothari, Schuchi. "Menus for a Multicultural New Zealand." *Continuum: Journal of Media and Cultural Studies* 21, no. 1 (2007): 45–58.
- Perera, Suvendrini and Pugliese, Joseph. "In an Impaired State: Settler Racial Logic and Prosthetic Citizenship in Australia." *International Journal of Politics, Culture and Society* (2020).
- Perera, Suvendrini and Pugliese, Joseph. "White Law of the Biopolitical." *Journal of the European Association of Studies on Australia* 3, no. 1 (2012): 87–100.
- Perry, Barbara and Scrivens, "White Pride Worldwide: Constructing Global Identities Online" in Jennifer Scheppe and Mark Walters *The Globalization of Hate: Internationalizing Hate Crime*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2016: 65–78.
- Perry, Barbara. *In the Name of Hate*. New York: Routledge, 2001.

- Pietsch, Juliet. *Race, Ethnicity and the Participation Gap: Understanding Australia's Political Complexion*. Canada: University of Toronto Press, 2018.
- Poynting, Scott and Perry, Barbara. "Climates of Hate: Media and State Inspired Victimisation of Muslims in Canada and Australia since 9/11." *Current Issues in Criminal Justice* 19, no. 2 (2007): 151–172.
- Poynting, Scott. "Islamophobia Kills But Where Does It Come From?," *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy* 8, no. 4, (2020): 1–14.
- Quince, Khylee. "This is not us": But actually, it is. Talking about when to raise the issue of colonisation". *New Zealand Journal of Psychology* 48, no.1 (2019): 140–145.
- Rawsthorne, Sally and Noyes, Jenny. "Man refused bail after allegedly punching, stomping on pregnant woman." *Sydney Morning Herald*, 21 November, 2019. <https://www.smh.com.au/national/nsw/man-charged-after-allegedly-punching-stomping-on-pregnant-woman-20191121-p53cl6.html>.
- Razack, Sherene. "Stealing the Pain of Others: Reflections on Canadian Humanitarian Responses." *Review of Education, Pedagogy, and Cultural Studies* 29, no. 4 (2007): pp. 375–394.
- Razack, Sherene. *Casting Out: The Eviction of Muslims from Western Law and Politics*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2008.
- Renzetti, Claire. *Feminist Criminology*. London: Routledge: 2013.
- Roediger, David. *The Wages of Whiteness*. London: Verso Books, 1991.
- Rowe, Carrillo Aimee and Tuck, Eve. "Settler Colonialism and Cultural Studies: Ongoing Settlement, Cultural Production, and Resistance." *Cultural Studies ↔ Critical Methodologies* 17, no. 1 (2016): 3–13.
- Sayyid, Salman. "Measure of Islamophobia." *Islamophobia Studies Journal* 2, no. 1 (2014): 10–25.
- Shaista Patel, 'Defining Muslim Feminist Politics through Indigenous Solidarity Activism', *The Feminist Wire*, 1 August, 2012, <https://thefeministwire.com/2012/08/defining-muslim-feminist-politics-through-indigenous-solidarity-activism/>.
- Shome, Raka. "Whiteness and the politics of location: postcolonial reflections" in Judith N Martin and Thomas K Nakayama (ed.) *Whiteness: The Communication of Social Identity*, London: Sage Publications, 1999: 107–128.
- Smith, Anne. "Indigeneity, settler colonialism, white supremacy" in Daniel Martinez HoSang, Oneka LaBennett and Laura Pulido (ed.) *Racial Formation in the Twenty-First Century*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2012, 66–90.
- Spoonley, Paul, Macpherson, Cluny and Pearson, David. *Tangata Tangata: The Changing Ethnic Contours of New Zealand*. Victoria: Dunmore Press, 2004.
- Stoller, Ann Laura. *Duress: Imperial Durabilities in Our Times*. Durham: Duke University Press, 2016.
- Sutton, Candace. "Pregnant woman stomper disrupts own court sentencing with anti-Muslim slur." *news.com.au*, 1 October, 2019, <https://www.news.com.au/national/crime/pregnant-woman-stomper-disrupts-own-court-sentencing-with-antimuslim-slur/news-story/48bb0fcd1ad92ba40c533c2d694cef28>
- Tarrant, Brenton. *The Great Replacement* (2019), 1–72.
- Terruhn, Jessica. Being Pākehā: White Settler Narratives of Politics, Identity and Belonging in Aotearoa/ New Zealand. Dissertation Thesis. The University of Auckland (2015): 1–234.
- Thiruselvam, Nishhza. "Care ethics and narratives of the "grateful refugee" and "model minority": A postcolonial observation of New Zealand in the wake of the Christchurch terror attacks." *Women's Studies Journal* 33, no. 1–2 (2019): 62–70.
- Toni Morrison, *Playing in the Dark: Whiteness and the Literary Imagination*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1992.

- Tuck, Eve. "Decolonization is not a metaphor." *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education & Society* 1, no. 1 (2012): 1–40.
- Veracini, Lorenzo. *Settler Colonialism: A Theoretical Overview*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.
- Wiegman, Robyn. "Whiteness Studies and the Paradox of Particularity." *Boundary* 26, no. 3 (1999): 115–150.
- Willard, Myra. *History of the White Australia Policy to 1920*. Melbourne: Melbourne University Press, 1967.
- Wilson, Jason. "Do the Christchurch shootings expose the murderous nature of 'ironic' online fascism?" *The Guardian*, 16 March, 2019, <https://www.theguardian.com/world/commentisfree/2019/mar/15/do-the-christchurch-shootings-expose-the-murderous-nature-of-ironic-online-fascism>.
- Wolfe, Patrick. "Nation and miscegeNation: discursive continuity in the post-Mabo era." *Social Analysis* 36 (1994): 93–152.
- Wolfe, Patrick. "Settler Colonialism and the Elimination of the Native." *Journal of Genocide Research* 8, no. 4 (2006): 387–409.
- Wolfe, Patrick. *Settler Colonialism*. London: A&C Black, 1999.